Clark Biology 430 – Animal Physiology Spring 2020

CHECKLIST FOR THE FINAL DRAFT OF YOUR REPORT Taken from: Pechenik, J. A Short Guide to Writing About Biology, New York, HarperCollins College Publishers, 1993, pp. 230-232.	<ul> <li>Every sentence leads to the statement of what was done in this study (general → specific)</li> <li>All statements of fact or opinion are supported with a reference or example</li> </ul>
Title Title gives a specific indication of what the study is about	Materials and Methods  Methods are presented in the past tense Design of study or experiment is clear and complete
Abstract  Background stated in 1 or 2 sentences Clear statement of specific question addressed, and of specific hypotheses tested Methods summarized in no more than 3 or 4 sentences Major findings reported in no more than 2 or 3 sentences Concluding sentence relates to statement of specific question addressed	Rationale for each step is self-evident or clearly indicated Each factor mentioned is likely to have influenced the outcome of this study, and all factors likely to have influenced the outcome are mentioned Precision of all measurements is indicated Includes brief description of how data were analyzed (calculations made, statistical tests used, why some data were excluded)
Abstract is a single paragraph; if not, can it be rewritten as one paragraph?	Results  Results are presented in the past tense
Introduction  Begins with broader context and general/overarching question  Clear statement of specific question or issue addressed  Logical argument provided as to why the question or issue was addressed – why is this a burning question?  How does it advance general understanding?  Specific hypotheses are indicated, if appropriate, and a rationale for the hypothesis is provided (i.e. explain your hypotheses!)	Results are presented in active terms whenever possible, for example, in terms of what organisms or enzymes did  All general statements are supported with reference to data (i.e. Fig. 1; and by results of statistical analysis when possible)  Major results are presented in words, but their implications are not discussed  The same data are not presented in both tabular and graphical form within the same report – one or the other

Clark Biology 430 – Animal Physiology Spring 2020	
Each figure or table has an informative caption or legend, correctly placed Symbols are used consistently in all figures, and are chosen to facilitate interpretation when possible Tables and figures are numbered in the order in which they are first referred to in the paper Each figure or table is self-sufficient; readers can tell what question is being asked, the major aspects of how the question was addressed, and what the most	Literature Cited  Citations are provided for every reference cited in the report and are in the correct format  Section includes no references that are not cited in the report  Each citation includes names of all authors, title of paper, year of publication, volume number, and page numbers
important results are without reference to the rest of the paper  Numbers of individuals and numbers of replicates are clearly indicated in the graph, table, caption, or legend  The meaning of error bars on figures is clearly indicated in the caption; for example, 1 standard error about the mean (s.e.m.)	Acknowledgements  People are mentioned by first and last names, and their specific contributions are noted  General  Text of report is double-spaced First page shows name of author, name of lab section (AM or PM), and date submitted
Discussion  Data are clearly related to the questions and hypotheses raised in the introduction – were hypotheses supported?  Facts are carefully distinguished from speculation  Unusual or unexpected findings are discussed logically, based on biology rather than apology (NOT "we screwed up")  All statements of fact or opinion are supported with references to the literature, data, or an example	All information is presented in the appropriate section of the report All pages and lines are numbered correctly
Discussion suggests further studies that should be conducted, additional questions that should be posed, or ways that the present study should be modified in the	

future